



**prof. Walter Daems**

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Applied Engineering  
Cosys-Lab

Campus Groenenborger  
Groenenborgerlaan 171  
B-2020 Antwerpen  
BELGIUM

E [walter.daems@uantwerpen.be](mailto:walter.daems@uantwerpen.be)  
T +32 3 265 98 43  
F  
M +32 499 355 115

FTI - U.301 – Groenenborgerlaan 171, 2020 Antwerpen, BELGIUM

prof. B. Bonette  
Mumford University  
450 Morning Mall  
Mumford, DX 94305-2004  
USA

January 3, 2016

Congratulations for online video lectures

Dear prof. Bonette,

I'd like to congratulate you and the other professors of your university on the very instructive video lectures provided by your University. They are valued very highly.

You inspired many a professor at our university to provide more technical content beyond classical paper courses. Based on your inspiring lectures, some students desire to candidate themselves for taking an internship at your university. You can find their details enclosed.

Below, you can find a few more paragraphs to illustrate that this class can generate multipage letters.

As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding. The paralogisms of practical reason are what first give rise to the architectonic of practical reason. As will easily be shown in the next section, reason would thereby be made to contradict, in view of these considerations, the Ideal of practical reason, yet the manifold depends on the phenomena. Necessity depends on, when thus treated as the practical employment of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions, time. Human reason depends on our sense perceptions, by means of analytic unity. There can be no doubt that the objects in space and time are what first give rise to human reason.

Let us suppose that the noumena have nothing to do with necessity, since knowledge of the Categories is a posteriori. Hume tells us that the transcendental unity of apperception can not take account of the discipline of natural reason, by means of analytic unity. As is proven in the ontological manuals, it is obvious that the transcendental unity of apperception proves the validity of the Antinomies; what we have alone been able to show is that, our understanding depends on the Categories. It remains a mystery why the Ideal stands in need of reason. It must not be supposed that our faculties have lying before them, in the case of the Ideal, the Antinomies; so, the transcendental aesthetic is just as necessary as our experience. By means of the Ideal, our sense perceptions are by their very nature contradictory.

As is shown in the writings of Aristotle, the things in themselves (and it remains a mystery why this is the case) are a representation of time. Our concepts have lying before them the paralogisms of natural reason, but our a posteriori concepts have lying before them the practical employment of our experience. Because of our necessary ignorance of the conditions, the paralogisms would thereby be made to

contradict, indeed, space; for these reasons, the Transcendental Deduction has lying before it our sense perceptions. (Our a posteriori knowledge can never furnish a true and demonstrated science, because, like time, it depends on analytic principles.) So, it must not be supposed that our experience depends on, so, our sense perceptions, by means of analysis. Space constitutes the whole content for our sense perceptions, and time occupies part of the sphere of the Ideal concerning the existence of the objects in space and time in general.

Kind regards,

prof. Walter Daems  
Senior Lecturer

CC: prof. S. Mariotte, Mumford University

ENCL:

1. list of course numbers that are most frequently viewed at our university (1pp)
2. a list of students desiring to take an internship at Mumford University (2pp)